



**University of  
Zurich**<sup>UZH</sup>

**Zurich Open Repository and  
Archive**

University of Zurich  
University Library  
Strickhofstrasse 39  
CH-8057 Zurich  
[www.zora.uzh.ch](http://www.zora.uzh.ch)

---

Year: 2020

---

## **Correction to: Approximations and generalized Newton methods**

Klatte, Diethard ; Kummer, Bernd

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10107-019-01436-6>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-182312>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Klatte, Diethard; Kummer, Bernd (2020). Correction to: Approximations and generalized Newton methods. Mathematical Programming: Series B, 179:469-471.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10107-019-01436-6>

## Correction to: Approximations and Generalized Newton Methods [Math. Program., Ser. B (2018) 168: 673–716]

Diethard Klatte · Bernd Kummer

Submitted 27 Juni 2019 / Revised 17 September 2019

**Abstract** We point out two erroneous statements in the paper [D. Klatte, B. Kummer, Approximations and Generalized Newton Methods, Math. Program., Ser. B (2018) 168: 673–716, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10107-017-1194-8>] concerning the directional differentiability of functions which allow an approximation by certain Newton maps. We thank Helmut Gfrerer who discovered these errors and created a counterexample which will be presented, too.

**Keywords** Newton map · semismoothness · Clarke’s Jacobian · directional differentiability

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010)** 49J53 · 49K40 · 90C31 · 65J05

In Subsection 3.2 of the paper [5], we studied the interrelations between semismoothness (in the sense of Qi, Sun [7]), approximation by Newton maps (in the sense of [4, 6]) and directional differentiability. In a private communication [3], Helmut Gfrerer pointed out that Theorem 6 in [5] is incorrect, and he created a counterexample showing that Proposition 2 in [5] is false.

First we present the correct statement of [5, Theorem 6]. Suppose that  $f$  is a locally Lipschitz function from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$  (briefly  $f \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ ). Let  $f'(\bar{x}; u)$  be the standard directional derivative of  $f$  at  $\bar{x}$  in direction  $u$ , and denote by  $\partial^{CL}f(\bar{x})$  Clarke’s generalized Jacobian [1, 2] of  $f$  at  $\bar{x}$ . For the definitions of Newton maps and semismoothness, we refer to [5].

**Theorem ([5, Theorem 6] corrected).**  $f$  is semismooth at  $\bar{x}$  if and only if

- (i)  $\partial^{CL}f$  is a Newton map for  $f$  at  $\bar{x}$ , and
- (ii)  $f'(\bar{x}; u)$  exists for each  $u$ .

Indeed, by [7, Prop. 2.1 & Thm. 2.3], semismoothness of  $f$  at  $\bar{x}$  implies both (i) and (ii). On the other hand, the if-direction of the theorem follows from [7, Thm. 2.3], by taking  $f'(\bar{x}; u) = f(\bar{x} + u) - f(\bar{x}) + o(u)$  under (ii) into account (for the latter see, e.g., [4, Lemma A2], [8]).

The original version of [5, Theorem 6] included the incorrect statement that (ii) follows from (i), while [5, Proposition 2] claimed that even a Newton-map property weaker than (i) implies (ii). Note that in the proof of Proposition 2 in [5], the estimate  $[\dots] \geq 2$  if  $h_k \geq 0$  on line 6 of page 690 is false.

We finish this corrigendum by Gfrerer’s counterexample [3]: it gives a function  $f \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  which satisfies the Newton-map property (i), but is not directionally differentiable and hence not semismooth.

---

Diethard Klatte  
IBW, Universität Zürich, Moussonstrasse 15, CH-8044 Zürich, Switzerland. E-mail: [diethard.klatte@uzh.ch](mailto:diethard.klatte@uzh.ch)

Bernd Kummer  
Institut für Mathematik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Unter den Linden 6, D-10099 Berlin, Germany. E-mail: [kummer@math.hu-berlin.de](mailto:kummer@math.hu-berlin.de)

**Example.** Consider two real sequences  $a_k \downarrow 0$ ,  $b_k \downarrow 0$  given by

$$a_1 := 1, \quad b_k := e^{-2k} a_k, \quad a_{k+1} := e^{-2k} b_k, \quad k \geq 1,$$

and the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \geq 1 \\ -x + \frac{1}{k} x \ln \frac{x}{b_k} & \text{if } x \in [b_k, a_k), k \geq 1, \\ x - \frac{1}{k} x \ln \frac{x}{a_{k+1}} & \text{if } x \in [a_{k+1}, b_k), k \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

$f$  is continuous, since for  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \uparrow a_k} f(x) &= \lim_{x \uparrow a_k} (-x + \frac{1}{k} x \ln \frac{x}{b_k}) = -a_k + \frac{1}{k} a_k \ln \frac{a_k}{b_k} = -a_k + \frac{1}{k} a_k 2k = a_k = f(a_k), \\ \lim_{x \uparrow b_k} f(x) &= \lim_{x \uparrow b_k} (x - \frac{1}{k} x \ln \frac{x}{a_{k+1}}) = b_k - \frac{1}{k} b_k \ln \frac{b_k}{a_{k+1}} = b_k - \frac{1}{k} b_k 2k = -b_k = f(b_k), \end{aligned}$$

while  $\lim_{x \downarrow 0} f(x) = 0 = f(0)$  because of

$$\begin{aligned} -x &\leq f(x) \leq -x + \frac{1}{k} x \ln \frac{a_k}{b_k} = -x + \frac{1}{k} x 2k = x, \quad x \in [b_k, a_k), \\ -x &= x - \frac{1}{k} x 2k = x - \frac{1}{k} x \ln \frac{b_k}{a_{k+1}} \leq f(x) \leq x, \quad x \in [a_{k+1}, b_k). \end{aligned}$$

$f$  is continuously differentiable except for the points  $0, a_k, b_k$  ( $k \geq 1$ ), with derivative

$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 1, \\ \frac{f(x)}{x} + \frac{1}{k} & \text{if } x \in (b_k, a_k), \\ \frac{f(x)}{x} - \frac{1}{k} & \text{if } x \in (a_{k+1}, b_k), \\ 0 & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\partial^{CL} f(x) = \begin{cases} [1, 2] & \text{if } x = a_1, \\ \left[1 - \frac{1}{k}, 1 + \frac{1}{k+1}\right] & \text{if } x = a_{k+1}, k \geq 1, \\ \left[-1 - \frac{1}{k}, -1 + \frac{1}{k}\right] & \text{if } x = b_k, k \geq 1, \\ [-1, 1] & \text{if } x = 0, \\ f'(x) \in [-2, 2] & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and Lipschitz continuity of  $f$ . Thus, for any  $x < 1$  and any  $A \in \partial^{CL} f(x)$ , one has

$$|f(x) - f(0) - A(x - 0)| \begin{cases} = \frac{x}{k} & \text{if } x \in (a_{k+1}, a_k) \setminus \{b_k\}, k \geq 1, \\ \leq \frac{x}{k} & \text{if } x = b_k \text{ or } x = a_{k+1}, k \geq 1, \\ = 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, by definition,  $\partial^{CL} f$  is a Newton map for  $f$  at  $\bar{x} = 0$ . On the other hand,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(a_k) - f(0)}{a_k - 0} = 1, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(b_k) - f(0)}{b_k - 0} = -1,$$

and so  $f'(0; 1)$  does not exist. Consequently,  $f$  is not semismooth at  $\bar{x} = 0$ .

## References

1. Clarke, F.H.: On the inverse function theorem. *Pac. J. Math.* 64, 97–102 (1976)
2. Clarke, F.H.: *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*. Wiley, New York (1983)
3. Gfrerer, H.: Private Communication, May 2019.
4. Klatte, D., Kummer, B.: *Nonsmooth Equations in Optimization - Regularity, Calculus, Methods and Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht-Boston-London (2002)
5. Klatte, D., Kummer, B.: Approximations and Generalized Newton Methods. *Math. Program., Ser. B* 168, 673–716 (2018)
6. Kummer, B.: Newton's method based on generalized derivatives for nonsmooth functions: convergence analysis. In Oettli, W., Pallaschke, D. (eds.) *Advances in Optimization*, pp. 171–194. Springer, Berlin (1992)
7. Qi, L., Sun, L.: A nonsmooth version of Newton's method. *Math. Program.* 58, 353–367 (1993)
8. Shapiro, A.: On concepts of directional differentiability. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 66, 477–487 (1990)